

Sustainability Articles, Editorial Responses?

Posted by sm3190 - 2008/11/30 23:41

I would like to know why there is no application that would enable discussion directly following posted sustainability articles in the archives.

The only available commentary feature for readers seems to be the shockingly ineffectual "digg it" feature that, from my perspective, alienates potential commentators by both denying them an appropriate forum to voice constructive criticism and by requiring they create yet another account on yet another organizational site.

I would like for the S.H.I.R.E. Institute to consider enabling this feature on their website, in the same manner which most of the news periodical websites allow members to editorialize articles-in a peer-reviewed format, surely.

Now I will root around for the appropriate venue in the community forum to address my deep concerns about the "Venus Project", a featured article on this site that, in my lowly opinion, is not worthy of the stated mission of this institute.

=====

Re:Sustainability Articles, Editorial Responses?

Posted by Thenor - 2008/12/01 02:04

we are working on it. As we have no operating budgit we have to make due. The digg is only meant to draw traffic. As for the Venus project it is goal to strive for a world of 0 waist where we can understand the whole impact that a particular project will have. Technology makes that possable.

=====